Austin (Tex.) American-Statesman July 6, 1974 Court Refuses Block Of San Antonio Highway

Friday to block construction of here. a six-to eight-lane highway through parklands in the City of San Antonio. The 5th before construction were not guirements of the National En-U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals conducted. The highway depart- vironmental Policy Act and the agreed with the Texas Highway ment said the studies were no 5th Circuit court concurred. Department that the project longer required. was no longer subject to federal environmental restric- amendment by Congress in 1973 from the environmental stattions.

Society has fought the highway the environmental studies. The

M

A federal appeals court refused has reached the appeals court expressway.

The society contended that that amendment no longer subrequired environmental studies jected the expressway to re-

: At issue was whether an to the Federal-Aid Highway Act utes, there would have been no The San Antonio Conservation did away with the necessity of in the courts for six years. This amendment severed all federal

NEW ORLEANS, La. (AP) - was the second time the case connection with the San Antonio

The highway department said

"If Congress had not intended to exempt the Expressway purpose in passing the legislation." wrote Robert A. Ainsworth Jr. for the threejudge panel of the appeals court.

"We cannot believe that Congress intended a vain and useless act. Any doubt about the matter, however, is fully resolved by the legislative history which shows without question that Congress drew the bill with the evident purpose of exempting the Expressway from the provisions of federal environmental laws ... "

"We conclude, therefore, that no environmental impact statement is necessary by the relationship of the North Expressway to the interstate highway system "

"The environmental statutes were designed to apply to federal projects. The Expressway is now a state highway, not a federal highway. Its former federal status has been terminated by act of Congress. Congress have spoken in the matter, we see no reason to attempt to override the solemn expression of its will found in the enactment" of the 1973 amendment.

The court also refused the society's request to have its attorney fees paid by the defendants - the State of Texas acting for its highway department.