


# The Sou'wester

Southwestern At Memphis

Volume 66 No. 25

March 13, 1981



Dawn Huff and Brian Sanders anticipate a rewarding year of Kinney Program opportunities.

Photo by John Peeples

## New Kinney Coordinators Announced for '81-'82

The Kinney Program, will be led by two seasoned Kinney volunteers in 1981-82—junior Dawn Huff and sophomore Brian Sanders.

As coordinators for the student-run program, which was started in 1957 by a grant from the Danforth Foundation, the two students will oversee the day-to-day operations of the program, matching students with volunteer jobs that parallel their interests and talents.

Ms. Huff, the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Huff of Clarksville, TN, is a biology major with aspirations to become a doctor or physical therapist. She has worked as a Kinney volunteer for three years, two of those at LeBonheur Children's Hospital. In addition to her volunteer work off campus, Ms. Huff has served on the yearbook staff and on the religion commission for the student government. She also sings with the Southwestern Singers.

Sanders, an economics and philosophy major, is the son of Dr. and Mrs. Walter M. Sanders of Athens, Ga. Like Ms. Huff, Sanders entered the Kinney program as a freshman and has worked at LeBonheur Children's Hospital for some time.

Sanders has been a resident advisor and a member of the track team, the pep band and Sigma Nu Fraternity. He considers Kinney "a nice way of getting involved in the school and the city," and proposes a big push to increase student participation next year.

Beth Simpson, director of the Kinney Program, said the posts of student coordinator require individuals who are well-respected on campus and who take a sincere interest in the concept of volunteer community service. According to Mrs. Simpson, Dawn and Brian fit those characteristics perfectly and exhibit a sensitivity toward the needs of their fellow students.

"A big part of the Kinney Program is to help students grow as well as to render service to organization in the community," Mrs. Simpson maintains. About 15 percent of the student body normally participate in Kinney-sponsored volunteer jobs.

### The Way It Looks Now

## Monday's Ballot To Include Dozens of Candidates

The Southwestern student body will elect new student representatives. The election will be held Monday, March 16. A later election on March 23 will have Honor Council, SGA representatives, and SRC representatives on the ballot. This is how it looks at press time:

#### March 16 ballot

#### Student Government Association

##### President:

David Eades

Paul Watson

##### SGA Vice-President:

Michael Eads

Bobby Eason

Gray Stevens

##### SGA Treasurer:

Becky Butler

Carolynn Camp

Sarah J. Snyder

##### Social Regulations Council President:

Sandra Denman

##### Board of Trustees Representatives:

(three to be chosen)

Becky Butler

Alan Curle

Ted deVillafrana

Valerie Hunt

Brian Sanders

Hunter Shannonhouse

##### Athletic Commissioner:

Bobby Mackett

Grant Johnson & Linda Odum

##### Election Commissioner:

Harry Flowers

Craig Gilchrist

##### Election Vice-Commissioner:

Mona Crawford

##### Election Board senior representatives:

(no petitions turned in) junior

representatives: Margot Emery

sophomore representatives: (no petitions

turned in)

##### Religion Commissioner:

Demaris Bailey & Laura Hollansworth

##### Welfare Commissioner:

Debbie Efrid & Lisa McLean

##### Publications Board Representatives-at-

Large: (no petitions turned in)

Social Commission (the Commission is

elected as a ticket)

##### Ticket One

Jim Barton

Perry Dement

Bitia Esmaeli

Kathleen Goedecke

Harold Leaver

Ricky Leggett

John Nisbet

Bowden Templeton

Kathy Woody

## Potential Presidents, V.P.s Articulate Policies and Concerns

by Gail McKnight and Frank Jones

The students of Southwestern will go to the polls Monday to elect the officers that will be their most visible voices during the coming year: Student Government Association president and vice-president, Social Regulations Council president, and Honor Council president and vice-president.

The candidates for S.G.A. president so far, are David Eades (junior) and Paul Watson (junior); Bobby Eason (sophomore), Michael Eads (sophomore), and Gray Stevens (junior) are running for S.G.A. vice-president.

"I feel that the S.G.A. started with no momentum this year. We've done a lot of things this year, but there is a lot to do because we had no precedent at all," said David Eades, current vice-president of the S.G.A.

Some of the issues that have dominated this year's S.G.A. decisions include the Curriculum changes, WLYX, and the Women's Undergraduate Board. Eades said that the decision to require the Man course of all freshmen is not final.

"I've been working on a humanities requirement which offers options: the Man course or a good-sized list of course offerings from which a student must choose," said Eades. "There is no guarantee that this proposal will pass, but I believe it has an excellent chance."

Eades responded to the question of WLYX by saying, "the station has to be saved. After next year the station will have to join in the budget struggle and hope that it receives enough funds to continue. I'll join that fight, too."

According to Watson, "I'll do everything within my power as president of the S.G.A. to preserve WLYX." Watson also expressed concern about the issue of Greek/independent factions on campus.

"I feel that there is nothing any organization at Southwestern can do to resolve the so-called Greek/independent

split. If I am elected, I will make it a general policy to ignore, especially in regards to committee appointments, the social standing of any fellow students," said Watson. "What this campus needs is willingness on the part of the students to involve themselves with the issues for the sake of the entire student body and not just for the sake of their particular social circles. It is quite possible for a student body to be diverse without being dispersed."

Vice-presidential candidate Bobby Eason addressed this issue also. "Through my work as a town student representative to the S.G.A., I feel I understand the feelings of independents as well as Greeks."

Eason said his main concern was to continue implementing the policies that David Eades has begun this year. "I like all of David's ideas. One thing I will stress is what I term an open door policy. I really feel there needs to be more dialogue, and more students need to get what they want from the S.G.A. and from the school."

Mike Eads said his first priority as vice-president would be "to continue the student assemblies, because students at Southwestern have a rare opportunity to have a say in decisions made by the faculty and administration." He also said, "WLYX is an invaluable asset that the school can use educationally. All we can do now is lend our support (to the station), but it's being worked on."

According to Gray Stevens, "a lot of the work that the S.G.A. has done this past year has been commendable, and I would like to keep it at a steady clip." He said that the curriculum change does not have "the full support of the faculty, especially the faculty on the Man staff. Dr. Lacy, David Eades, and others worked an alternative proposal that encompasses more of a choice for incoming freshmen, which I feel will have more support from the Man staff."

The candidates for president of the Honor Council, Eleanor Evins and Gregor Turk, consider the Honor Council a united operation, and a continuous one. They said they have worked well together all year.

"We are essentially running for the same reasons," said Turk. "Those are a commitment to the school and to its ideals--and a sense of responsibility to the Council."

"In terms of the recent publicity," said Evins, "I have a hard time speaking. I am a council member first, and I am running for president second."

Both candidates stressed their commitment to the basic qualities of a president: representing the Honor System to the Southwestern Community, and being able to be an objective observer and officiator of trials.

According to Chip Parrott, the office of Honor Council vice-president is "being able and ready to help the president fulfill his duties. I see the office of being vice-president as not being a token position. It's one with many responsibilities."

Kim Chickey is also running for Honor Council vice-president. "I'm glad people are showing interest in the Honor Council. Basically, I feel what they are complaining about is a basic problem of awareness. We did more with the freshmen this year. There are no exact solutions to the problems as of yet."

Sandra Denman, is at this point, running unopposed for the office of president of the Social Regulations Council. Concerning the council, she said, "In this community I see the S.R.C. as a council of elected peers functioning to reemphasize the commitment of each member to the community; to facilitate communications so problems can take care of themselves, and to protect the individual rights of the students."

Students are reminded that petitions for all of the offices covered in this issue of *The Sou'wester* can still be turned in by noon Saturday to Lewis Kalmbach, 200 Townsend.

#### Ticket Two

Patrice Buford

Terry Dycus

Valerie Hunt

Scott Kellerman

Phil Mahla

Anne O'Shields

Vanessa Dawn Robertson



### Come Fly A Kite!

Robb Common is sponsoring a "Keg and Kite" contest today at 4 p.m. in the Back-40. Kites will be judged for most unusual, highest flying, and most colorful. Beer will be sold for 25¢.

Judging will begin at 4:30 p.m. by several faculty members.





**THE SOU'WESTER**  
 Executive Editor—Tom Dorian  
 Editor—Steve Crabtree  
 Assoc. Editor—Gail Mc Knight

Contributors: Frank Jones, Vicki Wallace, Matthew Fishman, Phillip Habeeb, Laura Whitlock, Rick Cartwright, Bob Mackett, Jeff Cowell  
 Cartoons/Art: Lewis Kalmbach, Todd Sharp  
 Photography: John Peebles  
 Layout: Richard Bird  
 Circulation: Wayne Beam

**Box 724.....** 

**On Serving Students**

I would like to comment on the letter written to the editor by Liz Hart in last week's issue of the *Sou'wester*. The passage related Liz's experiences with the athletic department; Liz initiated and persisted with pleas for an ordered and viable women's track team. The department's reaction were lackadaisical and lethargic at best; the reactions impressed me as instruments of bureaucratic inefficiency and/or bureaucratic avoidance; I think it is the latter, but the culprit is not relevant here: Liz Hart and all Southwestern women have been denied a constitutional right.

I do not wish to chide the athletic department alone, because the experiences of Liz are no isolated case. The entire Southwestern community must accept the blame, administration, faculty, and especially students. Why? We have all allowed the true spirit of Southwestern to escape us: before all else, the primary purpose of Southwestern is to serve the individual student and not to serve budgets, school policies, and bureaucratic controls.

A dangerous relationship is taking grip of our institution: the student is fast becoming the servant of the school. And we, the students, are acquiescing and nurturing the trend. In a more pragmatic point of view, the student pays for his or her education at Southwestern; therefore, the student is the customer, and the administration and faculty are employees of the student. Did Liz Hart receive the services she paid for? Do we as students expect and demand what is rightfully ours, or have we misdirected ourselves into a subordinate position?

Liz has the constitutional right of access to a women's track team. But more importantly, Liz has the right of the student. Let us all remember that Liz, and all other students, exist as the very essence of Southwestern's purpose! I call for the superiors of director Ed White to serve their purpose.

Conrad Mehan

**Wake Up, Women**

Fellow students—especially fellow women students:  
 Wake Up!!!  
 WUB is being dissolved while G.M. (Gail McKnight), Liz Hart, and Mirnie Fontaine cry "equal rights!" (at least SEMI-equal) in sports. Focus your attention. Let's change things.

Gina Webber

**The Honor System**

Dear Southwestern Community,

In recent weeks the Honor System, Code, and Council have received much criticism. A lot of this criticism has revolved around one case (lying in official matters), which was partially described in last week's *Sou'wester*. The reason for this and most criticism received by the Council is derived from what seems to be a reciprocal lack of understanding between the student body and the Council.

In understanding each other, first, some terms need to be clarified: The rules regarding cheating, stealing, lying in official matters, and failing to report an infraction and the consequences that arise from such acts formulate the Honor Code. The Honor Council is the body of student representatives that hears possible infractions of the Honor Code and protects the System from offenders. The Honor System is not some depersonalized abstraction, but the Southwestern Community, specifically the student body, who believe in upholding the Honor Code by fulfilling their responsibility to it. Often these terms are used interchangeably and thus incorrectly.

One problem in the understanding of the Council's work is that often the "information" heard about a trial is either biased, incorrect, or lacking particular and significant details. For example, in last week's

Honor Council article a person described his/her trial (cheating). The article stated this person was found guilty when in fact he/she was found innocent. Further on, it stated that the deliberation in this trial lasted only half an hour, when it actually lasted at least an hour and a half. The lesser discrepancies often go unchallenged by the Council even if the oath of secrecy is not taken because by disclosing facts, the individuals involved in a trial (not merely the accused) can be harmed unnecessarily.

The article tends to project an image of a harsh, uncompassionate Council. Although the trial can be a very intimidating experience and quite unsettling, the Council attempts to make the trial situation as comfortable as possible for everyone involved. But every trial is serious and painful for both the accused and the Council. The Council is aware that its decisions can adversely affect a person's life, but the System comes before the individual (see Constitution: Article VIII, Section 1), which, granted, is a difficult concept to grasp at times.

Another problem is in the understanding of what a penalty means. In understanding the second case described in the article (lying in official matters) it is important to know the basis of the penalty. After a penalty has been commuted from expulsion, which is typically the case, the Council follows two guidelines in determining between probation and suspension: (1) the Council believes the penalty reflects the severity of the offense, (2) the Council determines whether the offender can reenter the System immediately or if he needs "time to ponder his beliefs in and commitment to the Honor Code." (Article VIII, Section 2). If the Council believes that the offender's attitude is threatening toward the well being of the System, he is given time to reassess his beliefs in the Code. The Council bases this decision on the attitude toward the System and Code, not the attitude toward the Council. The Council reaches this decision through questioning and listening to the offender, not by assumption.

Reciprocally, the Council has had difficulty understanding the community recently because of the alarming number of trials. Lately there have been various requests, such as: open trials, juries to serve with or instead of the present Council, lighter penalties, as well as stiffer penalties. Out of these diverse appeals, it is difficult to draw conclusions on what should be done.

It seems, however, that there is a lack of a sense of belonging to the System by a number of students. This is a difficult situation to alleviate. The solution is complex because the issue is complex. Actually there are two issues: (1) the conflict between the Council and the community and (2) the problem with the support of the Code by members of the System. As to the former, open minded discussion, responsive confrontation, understanding, and possible reform are the guidelines to a solution. As to the latter, Jeff Cowell stated the solution in his letter last week: each of us should question our own actions by asking "Is this wrong?" The solution is *within* each of us.

Sincerely  
 Gregor Turk

**Random Jurors**

Dear Southwestern,

The issues of both the Honor Council and the Honor System have been scrutinized recently. I stress the fact that they are two separate issues, which this letter will address thusly.

The Honor System at Southwestern is a source of great pride to me and most of this community. I do not question its validity in any way, directly or indirectly. It was founded in faith, trust and (obviously) honor. Currently the Honor System has fallen from the forefront in many people's minds, with varying consequences. I feel that the Honor System should be a positive force for community awareness as well as a standard for self-awareness. I feel this can only happen if the student body is more

**Open Minds on Discrimination**

One thing we have all heard about for the last several years is job discrimination. The great strides of women and blacks in the corporate realm of America have been broadcast around the world.

As a white male with a college degree, I am supposed to find little or no discrimination in my job search. Thank heavens, this has been true so far. And, indeed, blacks and women are competing with me and others on an equal basis. Their numbers are not yet as large as those of white men, but advances have definitely been made.

The alarming thing about job discrimination, however, is no longer a lack of openings for top-notch women and blacks. Instead, we must be concerned with our society's general conception of where they belong in our labor force. The misconception that some jobs should be reserved for white males is slowly and rightfully being eroded. We must not, however, fail to deal with perhaps more dangerous misconceptions; namely that some jobs (clerical, nursing, banktellers, etc.) should be reserved for women, and that others (manual, janitorial, sanitation, etc.) should be reserved for blacks.

These misconceptions seem far more serious than mere stereotypes. They have a concrete effect on the job opportunities of not only women and blacks, but of white men as well. People of all three groups who are unsure of their job choices, or are initially unskilled, are funneled into the jobs "reserved" for them.

In order for job discrimination to finally come to its deserving end, society must take an active role in opening the minds of the American public to all job opportunities. The practice of "reserving" any job for any group of people will be a hard one to break. Doing so will take a conscious effort on the part of many individuals. It is a challenge we cannot afford to ignore.

T.D.

Box 724... Box 724...

Box 724... Box 724

**Two Corrections**

Dear Community,

In my article last week entitled "Marchman Dismiss Effectiveness etc..." two corrections must be made. There was a printing error in the first case discussed. The person in that particular trial was found *not guilty*, instead of guilty. In the second case discussed, there was a misunderstanding between myself and the person involved over the quote. The quote read, "The Honor Council acted more in principle, than in sincerity of the crime", when it should have read "more in principle, than in severity of the crime". I apologize to both of those people, and will endeavor in the future to edit my own copy once it has been typed, and quadruple check all quotes.

Thanks,  
 Todd Weems

**Cartoon Complaints**

To the Attention of would-be cartoonist Todd Sharpe,

We, the student security workers, take deep offense at the depiction of security personnel (including ourselves) in the cartoon strip "Super Student". It is obvious by reading this so-called cartoon that Mr. Sharp does not know a thing about campus security, has a personal dislike of security, or both.

We would like to inform you of proper security procedure. The campus is divided up into two or three areas depending on whether two or three guards are working. A proper call would be "Area 1 to base" not "Hello, Command Post". We student workers write down communications about building checks, answer telephones, clear students for entry into buildings, and other duties. We never would say anything like "shoot him".

May we also point out to you that two-thirds of security force has had several years of security and/or armed services experience. On the whole, our security force is very capable. Security tries to do a job and does the best it can considering the apathy of students.

We do not know what your problem is, Todd Sharp. If you would like to expound upon it, I am sure that Box 724 would appreciate your comments. Or maybe Col. McQuown would like your suggestions on security. Perhaps we cannot afford B-B guns, but maybe a slingshot...

In the future, perhaps you could point your barbs in another direction. May we suggest "Pigeon Patrol". As Super Student goes scooting by the Student Center, Bruno, the patrol pigeon drops a shot....

Respectfully,  
 Student Security Workers  
 Rich Oljey, Juan Pulido, Buggy Ross,  
 Chon-Huat Goh, Whay Choong Lee

conscious of it, and this happens only when they are informed and *involved*. This is where I feel there is a connection between the Honor Council and the Honor System. I think this is far more important than any orientation program, or booklet, or forum. This is the direct involvement and use of the Honor System by the students in the form of participation in the proceedings of the Honor Council.

The Honor Council currently is a group of elected officers. These people, to the best of my knowledge, have been dedicated, hard-working, conscientious, and most importantly, fair. They are not the issue; I feel we need them; however, I think that they should comprise the majority of the council and not the total. If 8 of the 14 voting members are these experienced officers, and the remaining 6 are randomly selected jurors: the community learns more, pays more attention to honor, strengthens the sense of community, has a greater provision for checks and balances, and finally, prepares oneself for the decisions we will be making the rest of our lives.

With this system, the three-fourths majority needed for a conviction could never come from the random jury alone. The defendant and the Honor Council would each have the right to refuse any or all of the jurors. The wishes of the accuser for anonymity are preserved, and the Honor System will provide a guarantee of secrecy from the jurors, as it does currently with the present Council. Jurors could be chosen by the month or term, or by a set number of cases. Anyone could refuse jury duty.

In the case of a faculty review that returns an improperly tried case, a fresh group of six jurors could be pulled from the random pool.

I feel this proposal will make Southwestern a better place to live and grow, *right now* and long into the future.

Respectfully yours,  
 Joe Lapsley


P.S. If we the students want this, we can make it possible by a vote of the student body. It is your Honor System, your vote does count. Hopefully someday the need for Honor Council trials will wither and die.

**Discussion Helps**


To the Editors:

I respect and even admire the members of the present Honor Council. They are deeply committed to the Honor System, are aware that they have a very big responsibility, and I'm sure would never consciously make an unfair decision. They're human, though, and can make mistakes, which is one of the reasons that I think Joe Lapsley's proposal is worth considering. (He suggests a Council made up of perhaps 8 standing members elected by the student body, and 6 "revolving jurors", chosen randomly.) In the SGA assembly Tuesday, several people cited the American justice system and trial by a jury of peers as support for the revolving component. Ideally, it would evenly represent the student population, provide fresh insight, and help make every student at Southwestern feel a more vital part of the Honor System. I think that maybe we forgot something, though. We talked like the elected members of the Council are somewhere "up there", somehow removed from the rest of the student body. I think we should remember that they are our "peers", too. They are bound by the Honor Code just like everyone else. Unfortunately, an "us vs. them" attitude exists on campus, even though they *are* us. Maybe getting more students actively involved in the actual trial situation could lessen that feeling of isolation. As things stand now, I think that just talking about it is a good thing. Whether or not there are real changes made in the Honor System, discussion itself helps to alleviate fear and misunderstanding and uphold a feeling of mutual trust and respect that the system stands for in the first place.

Sincerely,  
 Mary Dowling







Phil Jones, Diana Williams, and John Shames performing in Neil Simon's *The Good Doctor*. The final show will be this afternoon at 3:30 p.m. in the Pub.

Photo by John Peeples

**A MOVIE REVIEW**

## 'Marionettes' Is Vintage Bergman, but Story Rings False

by Matthew Fishman

Ingmar Bergman makes films about tormented souls. His vision of life is one of hopelessness, despair, doom, and unhappiness.

Woody Allen, who often copies Bergman in his movies, has a similar version, but he finds humor in self-deprecation, and pessimism.

Bergman never laughs, nor makes us laugh. We just sit there, feeling pain and waiting for relief, which, inexorably, never comes.

Bergman has always been intrigued with the inner workings of the mind, and the intricacies and humiliation in male-female relationships.

In "From the Life of the Marionettes", Bergman's newest film, he picks up where he left off from "Scenes from a Marriage", and shows the grueling and compounding effect marriage has on an upper-class business executive.

The movie begins where Peter Eggarrman murders a prostitute, which is supposed to be symbolic of killing his wife. Bergman brings out a well-heeded primal thought, that everybody has someone they hate enough to kill.

Through the use of flashbacks and scenes that occur after the murder,

Bergman tries to give us a glimpse of what motivated this man to senseless murder. Although we learn about his latent homosexuality, frigid wife, and about his sense that he's being controlled by a marionette (hence, the title), Bergman never truly comes to grips in explaining the psychological motives that grew within this man. There is no way to decipher from these piecemeal scenes what was "the straw that broke the camel's back." What pushed Eggarrman "over the edge" to murder this prostitute?

In not answering these questions, instead of being a brilliant study in this man's psyche, rather, we get a coarse drama, which ultimately rings false.

There are several scenes which are vintage Bergman, but they are no more than singularly interesting vignettes, which have little to do with progressing the movie's already sketchy theme.

The acting is probing and intense, especially the roles of Mr. Eggarrman and the prostitute, but, unfortunately, Bergman's script leaves little room for empathy.

Ingmar's personal touch has long made him one of the world's premier movie makers, but with "From the Life of the Marionettes", it gets in the way.

"From the Life of the Marionettes" is playing at the Memphian theatre (51 S. Cooper), from March 13-19.

### Residence Committee to Examine New Housing Ideas

Should Southwestern have apartments on campus? Can/should males and females live under one roof?

President Daughdrill recently formed the Stewart Hall Committee to look at Southwestern's buildings across University Street and suggest what to do with them and the people inside. According to a memorandum from the President, the committee is to "study and recommend: 1) How, where, and when to relocate the Dept. of Music, 2) What type of housing should be built for student residence and/or rental income (including what, where, and when), and 3) Are there other needs for space that should be met in conjunction with the construction?"



As yet, there are no restrictions on budget, and no concrete plans for place, time, or type of construction or renovation, so the options are many and varied, including doing nothing. Tom Kepples says it's largely up to students. Use your imagination, and direct any suggestions to any member of the Stewart Hall Committee (Dean Gerald Duff, Chairman), the Residence Hall Renovation Advisory Group (Max Aldrich, Mary Dowling, Kelly Frere, and David Reese, student representatives), or Tom Kepples. Final recommendations will be made at the October 1981 meeting of the Board of Trustees, so start thinking.

## Soviet Scholar Will Offer Class

Southwestern will be hosting Dr. Victor A. Kremenyuk as a scholar-in-residence for the remainder of Term II. Dr. Kremenyuk is a senior research fellow at the Institute of U.S.A. and Canada Studies at the Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R.

He was invited by the International Studies Department to give a series of lectures to Southwestern students about Soviet government and foreign policy. He will also be teaching a one hour class on Soviet foreign policy. Classes will be held on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays from 3:40-5:00 p.m. The class is open to students

from all departments on campus, and the International Studies Department encourages interested students to talk to Professor Likes as soon as possible. (The first class period was yesterday.)

His visit to Southwestern will mark Dr. Kremenyuk's first trip to the United States. He speaks fluent English and French and is eager to have contact with the students here. Dr. Kremenyuk will be taking his daytime meals in the Refectory, and he hopes to gain a conception of life in the Southwestern community.



by Philip Habeeb

## Your Horoscope

**Aries** (March 21-April 19) One who aided you in the past will reappear and blackmail you. Your mother will need an exorcism before the night is over. Pizza no longer agrees with you.

**Taurus** (April 20-May 20) Accolade is received from one who previously felt you were a complete imbecile. Agreements are subject to change. You have a mild case of terminal leprosy.

**Gemini** (May 21-June 20) This is a good time to try out your homemade enema. Aren't you a little old for pampers? Your favorite professor goes into a coma whenever he lectures.

**Cancer** (June 21-July 22) Be sure to get a shot of penicillin. Timing and luck blend to make this a lousy day. Your brother's name has just been added to the FBI's ten most wanted list.

**Leo** (July 23-Aug 22) Stock up on Vitamin E. Your best bet may be to sit on the sidewalk with a tin cup and some pencils. Try to avoid the Refectory's Wednesday night special: Carcinogen Casserole.

**Virgo** (Aug 23-Sept 22) You may contract a rare form of viral schizophrenia. The answer to the first question on your next Man exam is: a) Sitting Bull. You have a fervent attraction to Barbie Dolls.

**Libra** (Sept 23-Oct 22) You have to repeat the third grade. Keep all options open. Tonight at 11:03 pm; you will get the sudden urge to have sexual relations with a Neanderthal man.


**Scorpio** (Oct 23-Nov 21) Focus on graduation as quickly as possible. You need to be fitted for a straight jacket. Next Tuesday will be your time to host The Tonight Show.

**Sagittarius** (Nov 22-Dec 21) Beginning today at 3:00 pm, all of your rights under the Constitution will be repealed. Your face would be a perfect addition to the Rorschach test. Yes, your cat has polio.

**Capricorn** (Dec 22-Jan 19) Clean up your room; it resembles Nagasaki. Bruce Springsteen will treat you to lunch at McDonald's. Write your autobiography and entitle it, *I Died in El Salvador*.

**Aquarius** (Jan 20-Feb 18) Only read textbooks that have been underlined. Avoid death as often as possible. Your father likes to dress up like a nun and moon passers by on the expressway. You man need to go through puberty again.

**Pisces** (Feb 19-March 20) Your sister will win the Karl Marx look alike contest. After today you will be incapable of pronouncing the word ersatz. You are extremely, extraordinarily, and unusually mediocre.



### 'Ceddo' Presents Epic Dimensions

CEDDO, a film directed by Ousmane Sembene (Africa's foremost filmmaker), will show at Brooks Memorial Art Gallery, March 15 at 2:30 p.m. Admission is free.

The plot focuses on a revolt by the "Ceddo" (the villagers or peasants) against a converted Mulim king and his councilors. Involved also is the kidnapping of a princess and attempts to rescue her. Set in a Senegalese village and accompanied by dynamic music, the film has the ethnicity of an anthropological document and the epic quality of a Greek morality drama.



—IN THIS CORNER—

## Helen Norman

Ten years ago college students wore their uniqueness, their "individuality", like an Izod badge of 1981--proud, almost smug, about their nonconformity. Being described as a "free spirit" or one's own person was the highest of all compliments and deserved by only a few of the many who strived to give that impression.

The early 1970s, when I was in college, was also a time when formal organizations (particularly those of a social sort) were on the skids. In fact, those students who pledged fraternities or sororities were either stalwart, self-confident individuals (able to withstand the verbal barbs hurled their way--"How could you sell your soul to a sorority" was a popular one.) or they were blissfully ignorant of the liberalism storming the campus then.

Those were halcyon days for non-Greeks and social activists; dreary times for Young Republicans and those aligned--or reputedly aligned--with "the establishment".

It takes no schooling in sociology to see the radical swing that has occurred on campus--here and elsewhere--in the past decade. But have the students really changed? If Southwestern's current 1,045 students were plucked Gulliver-style from the age of the "Preppy Handbook" and the Ivy League look and returned to the campus of 1971, would they look or react to the times any differently than their predecessors? I doubt it. After all, we are all the products of our environment.

Depending upon the direction society's winds happen to be blowing at the time, we adhere to certain vocabularies, certain trappings, certain political and social causes, often eschewing those of former times. Right now the gust is moving right and those students headed in the opposite direction fear they will be trampled on in the process. A similar situation, in reverse, was true in the late '60s and early '70s.

The trend toward conservatism on campus and elsewhere doesn't frighten me any more than did the move toward the left which occurred ten years ago. What does scare me is a growing tendency to deride philosophies and lifestyles contrary to one's own, to close channels of discussion and debate, to look disdainfully upon those who march to the tune of different drummers.

In my book homogeneity is a synonym for mediocrity. Southwestern students have a distinct challenge before them--to assure that differing viewpoints can indeed coexist on this campus, be they Greek/independent, black/white, liberal/conservative or whatever. It will take a real commitment on the part of various segments of this student body to open communication channels, to listen--really listen--to all viewpoints, to encourage the diversity necessary for a truly liberating college experience.



# Lynxcats Narrowly Miss Tournament Title

by Rick Cartwright

The 1980-81 Lynxcats closed out their season last Saturday night at 23-3, the best basketball record in Lynx history, and took second place in the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division III South Region tournament. The Lynxcats narrowly missed the championship, losing in a heart stopping game 76-75 to host Savannah State.

Savannah State, who had not been defeated at home in four years, led by as much as 11 and 13 points at different times during the game. They were unable to prevent the rallies led by senior standout Matt Bakke that kept putting the Lynx back into the game. Southwestern had gained a one

point lead with about 2 minutes left but a State basket wiped out the gain. However, with about six seconds left, the Lynx got the ball in court.

According to head basketball coach Herb Hilgeman, "we wanted to feed to Bakke, but he was too well guarded. Kurt (Wyckoff) was open so he got the ball and tried for a 30 footer desperation shot. The ball hit the rim and rolled off."

The Lynxcats had played another nailbiter the night before to gain the finals, beating St. Andrews 72-70. The Lynx pulled ahead by one, off a free throw by Bobby Alexander, and Matt Bakke nailed the front end of a one and one with six seconds left to lift SAM up by two.

## It's Been a Pleasure....


Sports Editorial

by Bobby Mackett

To say the least, we as Lynxcat Basketball Fans must appreciate the effort our team displayed in Savannah, Georgia, last weekend in the NCAA Division III South Region Tournament.

Friday night's performance was something I'll never forget. Down by eight points with minutes remaining, the four starting seniors' careers looked finished. They never gave up, as persistence was the key for the final minutes. If the U.S. Olympic Hockey Team was equipped "Miracle on Ice," then this was a "Miracle on the court". I've visualized many a sporting event and never, ever have I seen a team come from such a resounding deficit. Matt Bakke and Mike O'Keefe played the games of their lives. Everyone knows the story, final score: Southwestern 73, St. Andrews 70. Bakke guarded a man who may play professional basketball and performed more than admirably against him. Mike was nothing short of tremendous all around. It was something I'll never forget.

Saturday's game was equally excellent, except that we went down to defeat by a count of 76-75 to Savannah State. Again, it was a total team effort, and Coach Herb Hilgeman has to be proud of you guys. Thanks Mike, Tim, Matt, Kurt, Mark, Bobby, Ned, Jeff, Rusty, Terry, Jim, Kent, and Chip. We're all proud of the team. It has been a pleasure watching you all season long.



Pedro Rodriguez anticipates the pitch in a scrimmage game against Shelby State earlier this week. The Lynx baseball squad plays its home opener at 3:00 this afternoon against Washington University. Photo by John Peeples

**Jack's Pit Stop**  
1674 Madison  
at Belvedere

**Ribs** **6.95** with FREE pitcher of Coors **ALL-YOU-CAN-EAT**

**Fried Shrimp** **6.50** with FREE pitcher of Coors **ALL-YOU-CAN-EAT**

Homemade spaghetti and chili, great pizza,  
B-B-Q, burgers, barbecue bologna (try it!), and MORE!

**OPEN 24 HOURS—7 DAYS A WEEK**  
Southwestern students receive a discount!!

**SAIL and DIVE in the BAHAMAS**  
seven days—\$250—includes food  
depart from Miami—return to Miami

50%  
Discount

Trip includes sailing, beachcombinng on uninhabited islands, skin diving on incredibly beautiful shallow reefs and a fan tastic wreck, visiting a historic old lighthouse and magnificent Italian Renaissance villa, rollicking night life in a Bahama port, lounging in the Sun, and romantic moonlight cruising.

Only 8 people per trip aboard a 41 Morgan (the most popular sailboat in the Bahamas).

**Trips from May 17-August 15** (Deadline for signing up is April 25)  
For more information  
**Call: Capt. Roy Schroeder (USCG Licensed Captain)**  
323-7442 after 7 p.m.

**maxell®**  
**THE CHOICE IS YOURS**

**BUY ANY TWO (2) MAXELL TAPES**  
at regular price  
Receive **FREE one (1)**  
Maxell LP album  
**YOUR CHOICE--Jazz, Classical or Rock**

Offer good through March  
**SOUTHWESTERN BOOKSTORE**  
Shop 8:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.



**Chickey Baby**

How could that feathered hussy let C.B. slip out of her sight while she entertained men in the absence of her new husband? This is solid grounds for divorce.... but divorce is the last thing on Chickey Baby's mind now. His only concern is the safety of the child.... but that safety is in jeopardy!

Through the streets of Memphis our hero searched for a sanctuary.

He rushed to Southwestern as his only hope.....

But all the doors were locked:

THE MAILROOM!! IT'S NEVER LOCKED! ?

WHY SHOULDN'T C.B. OPEN THAT DOOR? WHO IS WARNING HIM? DON'T MISS THE **VERY LAST** EPISODE OF CHICKEY BABY NEXT WEEK. (I'M WORKING ON THIS NEW CARTOON ABOUT THIS POSSUM WHO ABUSES THE HONOR CODE, LYNCHES SCOTT WING, DEFILES "SUPER STUDENT" AND COMMITS VARIOUS OTHER HIGHLY OFFENSIVE CRIMES)