Spring Semester 2009
Asset Valuation and Business Strategy BUS 485

Professor: Deborah N. Pittman  John M. Planchon
OFFICE: 329A Buckman  322 Buckman
PHONE: 843-3405; 767-1920 (home)  843-3922; 756-0135 (home)
OFFICE HOURS: 1:00–2:00 Tues/Thurs  MW 2-4 and by appointment.
5:00 – 6:00 Tues Thurs
Other times by appointment
Text: Cases from the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia and from Harvard Business School. (Selected Reading as assigned from *Competition Demystified* by Greenwald and Kahn, and *Blue Ocean Strategy* by Kim and Mauborgne.)
Required Financial Calculator and *Wall Street Journal*
Suggested Popular business publications

Course Perspective
This course should be intellectually challenging and, if you like a good challenge, fun! If you are working as hard as you should in BUS 485, at times you will feel challenged, lost, and probably a little peeved. However, as you progress through the course, you will increasingly experience a sense of accomplishment when you meet the challenge of completing a homework assignment or preparing and presenting a complex case. You should experience in this course flashes of enlightenment—moments when theories, principles and practices come together in a well-structured competitive strategy. We hope these flashes will be even more intense because you will see the interrelationships between finance and marketing strategies: Good decisions in one area can be totally subverted by poor decisions in the other, and determining good strategies for both areas (what’s best for the firm) will be the challenge of this course. You will, we hope, experience the joy and frustration of working with colleagues on cases. If you are an active participant, BUS 485 will be an exciting journey for you and for your classmates. However, if you choose not to be actively involved in the course, the experience will be a forced march for you and an experience to be endured by your classmates who must be in class with you.

Course Objectives:

In this course, we’ll apply many of the theories examined in Marketing Management 371 and Financial Management 351 in order to understand and to explain marketplace phenomena. The primary methods for attaining understanding and ability to explain and predict business phenomena will be homework assignments, case analyses, student presentations, and discussions. There are several course objectives we hope to attain.

1. To gain insight into how financial and marketing decisions are interrelated and how careful analyses should lead to more integrated decision making with better results for the firm.
2. To gain insight into how financial theory is relevant to actual business situations through practice. There is an orientation beyond mere description and analysis, toward normative thinking and decision-making, as well as growth in judgment. The underlying focus throughout the course will be on valuation modeling and economic analysis as a foundation for corporate financial decision-making.

3. Through case analyses, attain a more thorough understanding of selected concepts, theories and principles we examined in BUS 371 and to add others as they relate to a firm’s strategy. The underlying focus will be upon making strategic decisions to create and/or sustain competitive advantage.

4. To acquire proficiency in analytical techniques needed to make financial decisions. Cases explore the application of finance tools and concepts in an imperfect world where information is noisy, behavior is not always rational, and outcomes are unpredictable.

5. Develop skills necessary to analyze critically strategic situations and to frame well informed strategies based upon our analyses.

6. Develop skills necessary to present the results of our analyses as well as our recommendations in writing and orally.

7. Develop skills necessary to examine, question, and discuss others’ analyses.

8. Develop skills of working with others to attain a common goal. Much decision-making in business is accomplished in a group environment, and team members must learn to advance their ideas in a cooperative manner.

9. To gain an awareness and understanding of more recent financial and marketing trends and innovations.

**Course Structure:**

**Cases:** Asset Valuation and Business Strategy (BUS 485) draws very heavily upon case studies to accomplish the objectives presented above. Cases present the frustrating opportunity for students to put all of their finance, marketing, accounting, management, and economics knowledge to work in solving strategic finance-marketing management problems. Usually, you will find it relatively easy to identify the general strategic area covered by the case. However, before recommending a solution to the issues presented in the case, you must determine which information presented in the case is relevant. Then, you must thoroughly examine that information. Sometimes, one is lulled into "treating the symptom rather than the disease" presented in a case. Using cases also allows us to cover many different aspects of finance and marketing. Finally, the use of cases also means that students must develop their analytical and communication skills by drawing, stating, and defending their conclusions as well as analyzing and questioning others' conclusions.

Cases will be worked on in small groups, and there will be variety in delivery of the cases to enliven student participation and learning. Some cases will involve standard case discussions lead by the professor, with students expressing their opinions, demonstrating their analyses done outside of class, and questioning the analyses of other groups. However, in one case teams will negotiate with each other. Some cases will be number-crunching problems, some will involve interpretation and the exercise of managerial judgment, and some will involve both. Some cases will be more difficult than others.
Seven cases will be formal, written cases prepared by each team, and presented orally by teams as specified in the syllabus. (See section on grading.) When a group makes an oral presentation, the group’s overall grade for that case will take into consideration both the oral and written components.

**Lectures:** There will be lectures as well. The lectures will generally address financial or strategic topics not covered in depth in Corporate Finance or Marketing Management I, but we will spend some time reviewing certain material before going into more depth.

**Teamwork:** Students will form groups on September 9. Groups will meet regularly outside of class to prepare the upcoming cases and/or discuss assigned reading. Your professors have prepared a list of questions for groups to use in preparing each case. These questions are in both Professor Pittman’s and Professor Planchon’s public folder located in the folder for BUS 485. The questions are not exhaustive of the issues found in the case; however they will give you direction and provide a good beginning place for your work. **Each student** should come to group meetings prepared to discuss the group’s answers to case questions in particular as well as other issues of the case brought up in class. Each team will also be required to complete three written case presentations that are also presented orally, and turn in a written case analysis for each of the remaining four cases. (Please see grading section.) The formal write-ups should never exceed five pages of 1.5 spaced lines (12 pt. Times Roman with 1-inch margins) plus exhibits. Formal written presentations will be graded primarily on thoroughness of analyses, clarity of expression, knowledge of the facts of the case, and the ability to follow directions, i.e. an emphasis on the analytical process and the ability to draw on correct theories. Each team, whether presenting or not, should come to class prepared to present its written results and to share its spreadsheet analyses.

**Topics:** We will cover the following topics: Evaluation of Corporate Performance, Forecasting, Cost of Capital, Capital Budgeting, Debt Management, Mergers, Corporate Financial Strategy, Valuation of the Firm, Pricing, Distribution, Competitive Advantage, Creating Consumer Value, Industry Structure and Strategy, Market Segmentation, Targeting and Positioning, Brand Management, and Services. There will be other topics that arise naturally from cases and readings as we progress through the course.

**Homework Assignments:** Homework assignments will help the student prepare for the formal grading opportunities. Some are reading assignments, and some require preparing spreadsheets or written answers. They will be taken up and read in order to ascertain what areas need additional explanation and what effort is being made. These homework assignments will be used in determining a part of each student’s class contribution grade. Other individual participation grades come from each student’s daily participation in class discussions. Homework assignments will help to prepare you for the tests and for the formal case work.

**Mid-term and Final:** During the semester, there will be two tests which will permit the student to demonstrate that the first two objectives of the course have been met. All work on these exams is to be the student’s alone and will be pledged.
**Student Responsibility:** Because of the interactive nature of this course, success depends to a great extent upon how well prepared students are for each class meeting.

1. You must prepare each assignment before class. This is particularly important since so much time will be spent presenting and discussing cases. Do not waste your colleagues’ time by trying to discuss a case you have not prepared carefully. Don’t confuse merely reading a case with preparing it for class discussion.

2. You must attend class regularly and be prepared to make significant contributions to our discussions of cases and other assignments. There are no excused absences for this course. **If you are not present, you cannot discharge your responsibility of contributing to discussion and learning in the course.** Please note that 15% of your grade is earned by contributing to class discussion. Also, note that we have scheduled ample time to discuss each case. Much of that time is student “property.” Each of you is expected to question and discuss in detail the case assigned for that day. If you wish to use only 25% of your time, you should remember that you earn the class contribution portion of your grade by contributing to the class. After student presentation and discussion, the professors might want to elicit some points of the case for more detailed discussion and will call upon students for specific analyses and recommendations. It is from this give and take in discussion and questioning that you will earn a significant portion of the 15% class contribution portion of your grade. Moreover, it is from this give and take discussion that you will develop and hone your business knowledge and skills as well as your knowledge and skill about “how” to discuss and to be an advocate. Simply put, if you aren’t in class, you can neither learn nor earn!

3. Individually, you must make sure that you schedule an appointment with your teacher when you feel you are having problems meeting the requirements of this course. As a study group, you must schedule a meeting with your professors to discuss the case you are preparing; for example, you would be meeting with professors Pittman and Planchon on Wednesday, September 24th, to discuss Matching Dell that is due on Thursday, October 2. We have scheduled Wednesdays from 1:00 -2:30 p.m. for these meetings.

4. Class discussions in BUS 485 will sometimes become very, to use a fairly neutral word, animated. Lively discussion is a goal for this course. Given the benefits of discussion mentioned earlier and the fact that students should have invested a tremendous amount of effort into preparing their case analyses, a lively discussion is both expected and desired. **HOWEVER, disrespect for one’s colleagues will not be tolerated in this course!**

**Honor Code:**

All casework, exams, and homework are covered by the honor code.

1. It will be considered an Honor Council offense to use notes or other materials from students who took this course in earlier terms.
2. When turning in homework and formal case write-ups, your pledge indicates the following:

“We pledge that each member signing below shared in the preparation of this case equally, we consulted with no one outside our group, and we used no information from previous semesters. We neither gave nor received aid in our case preparation.”

3. When turning in your exams (midterm and final) your will pledge that all work on the exam is yours alone—that you neither gave nor received aid on the exam.

Grading and Evaluation

Course grades are earned according to the following scheme. (You will earn one grade for these integrated courses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94 - 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90 - 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87 - 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>84 - 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80 - 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77 - 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>74 - 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70 - 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67 - 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>64 - 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>60 - 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>below 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A weighted course average will be calculated using the following weights for the course components.

- Four formal written case analyses @ 5% — 20%
- Three written cases presented formally to the class — 5%  
  First 5% second case 10% and third case 15% — 30%
- Contribution to class meetings — 15%
- Midterm exam — 5%
- Final exam — 10%
- Homework assignments — 10%
- Bloomberg Certification — 10%

All cases, unless otherwise noted are to be pledged as a group effort to which all members of the group contributed equally. Each student in the group will earn the same grade for the case. The formal presentations will entail using a power point presentation. A hard copy of the power point should be turned in with the case. ALL written cases must be turned in before the beginning of class—no exceptions.

*These cases that are presented will have a formal written analysis that must be turned in. The cases will be pledged as the work of the group, and all students in the group will receive the same grade for the case.*
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Questions for Cases in Strategy and Valuation

Starbucks Questions

1. What factors account for the extraordinary success of Starbucks in the early 1990s? What was so compelling about the Starbucks value proposition? What brand image did Starbucks develop during this period?

2. Why have Starbucks’ customer satisfaction scores declined? Has the company’s service declined, or is it simply measuring satisfaction the wrong way?

3. How does the Starbucks of 2002 differ from the Starbucks of 1992?

4. Describe the ideal Starbucks customer from a profitability standpoint. What would it take to ensure that this customer is highly satisfied? How valuable is a highly satisfied customer to Starbucks?

5. Should Starbucks make the $40 million investment in labor in the stores? What’s the goal of this investment? Is it possible for a mega-brand to deliver customer intimacy?

Financial Detective Questions

There are no formal assignment questions required for preparation. The problem in the case is self-explanatory.

Oracle Questions

This case considers the sudden and very large drop in market value of equity for Oracle Systems associated with two announcements in 1990. These announcements caused investors to revise their expectations about the future growth of Oracle Systems, perhaps the most rapidly growing American corporation in the 1980s. The task of the student is to evaluate the implications of these announcements and assess the financial health of the company. This case is intended to be an introductory case. It can provide a first 0065cise in financial statement analysis and lay the foundation for two important financial theses: the concept of financial “health” and the financial economic definition of “value” and its determinants.

1. What can the historical income statements (Exhibit 1) and balance sheets (case Exhibit 2) tell you about the heath and current condition of Oracle Systems?

2. What questions do the time series of ratios in case Exhibit 3 raise? What questions do the ratios on peer firms in case Exhibits 4 and 5 raise?

3. Is Oracle Systems Corporation financial healthy in September 1990?
4. In light of your answer to question 3, what might account for the firm’s recent decline in share price?

**Matching Dell Questions**

This case will go much better for you if you recall our discussions and readings on competitive advantage, competitive imitation and barriers to imitation, as well as growth strategies e.g., straddling.

1. How and why did the personal computer industry come to have such low average profitability?

2. Why has Dell been so successful despite the low average profitability in the PC industry?

3. Prior to the recent efforts by competitors to match Dell (1997-1998), how big was Dell’s competitive advantage?

4. How effective have competitors been in responding to the challenge posed by Dell’s advantage? How big is Dell’s remaining advantage?

5. What should Dell anticipate its major rivals (IBM, Compaq, HP, and Gateway) will do now?

6. What do you think Dell should do?

**Diageo Questions**

This case deals with capital structure, debt management, financial strategy, modeling, and the food industry.

Objective: Can one apply the static-tradeoff theory of capital structure to an actual major firm in the face of uncertainty?

Disciplines Used in the Case: Finance, international economics, strategy and business policy, taxation

1. How has Diageo historically managed its capital structure?

2. What is the static tradeoff theory (RWJ Chapter 17)? How would you apply it to Diageo’s business prior to the sale of Pillsbury and spinoff of Burger King?

3. Why is Diageo selling Pillsbury and spinning off Burger King? How might value be created through these transactions?

4. Based on the results of the model, what recommendation would you make for Diageo’s future capital structure? Does the model capture all of the important risk factors faced by Diageo? How might you adjust the recommendation from the model to adjust for any missing risk factors?
You are not asked to manipulate the Monte Carlo model or revise it. You are asked to critique is assumptions. For this case, focus mainly on all the pros and cons of various debt levels—too much debt and too little debt—and make a judgment on whether Diageo should change its target range or leave it alone. Just make sure you understand what the model is saying. Figure 2 tracks the costs to be subtracted from the value of firm due to a combination of taxes paid and financial distress costs due to various capital structure decisions. The PV costs are minimized at around $2 billion (where the debt level is around 4.2 EBIT/Interest), and the costs are highest at $3.5 billion based on the model. You might want to review the notes given to you in the folder on finance that pertain to capital structure and debt policy.

**Brown Forman Questions**

This case provides a straightforward introduction to corporate valuation using DCF and to the general field of mergers and acquisitions. The case is also a useful problem in the valuation of intangible assets, the main focus being the value of a brand name that has relatively few hard assets associated with it.

1. Should Brown-Forman buy Southern Comfort Corporations at the asking price of $94.6 million?

2. On what key assumptions does your answer to the first question depend? How robust is the purchase decision to variations in those key assumptions?

3. If Brown agrees to acquire Southern Comfort, to what key considerations should he devote his attention in order to make the acquisition an economic success?

4. Apart from the possible acquisition, how appropriate is Brown-Forman’s hurdle rate of twelve percent for projects in place?

**Gucci Questions**

Consider the following questions as you complete the Gucci case. Remember, the questions are given to you as guides; i.e. the questions should help guide your thinking about the case but are not meant to cover every aspect of the case you should consider.

1. Map the competitive positions of the different players in the luxury goods business. Who are the best positioned players? Why?

2. Where was Gucci positioned in 1990? 1994? 2000? What were the critical moves made by De Sole to reposition the company?

3. Evaluate De Sole’s latest move to buy YSL and Sergio Rossi.

4. Where do you think Gucci will go? Why?
**Home Depot Questions**

1. Specifically, what strategy has Home Depot followed to achieve its spectacular growth?

2. Is that strategy with its focus or foci sufficient to ensure future growth?

3. What core competencies do you think Home Depot has developed to sustain growth up to the time of the case? Are those competencies relevant for future growth?

**Nantucket Nectars Questions**

This case describes a number of financial and strategic decisions confronting the founders of Nantucket Nectars. The case provides an opportunity to discuss exit strategies. The choice between exit via an IPO or sale to a strategic buyer are compared and discussed.

1. What are the pros and cons of remaining independent? Going public? Selling the company?
2. If management decides to consider selling the company, how should they orchestrate the process? Should they hire an investment banker?
3. How would they identify and deal with prospective buyers?
4. What is Nantucket Nectars worth? To whom? Why?
5. If management decides to sell the business, how should they think about their role after the sale?
6. What should management do?