Rhodes College Digital Archives - DLynx

"The Energy Fast Track"

Item Type	Editorial
Publisher	Commercial Appeal
Rights	All rights reserved. The accompanying digital objects and associated documentation are provided for online research and access purposes. Permission to use, copy, modify, distribute and present this digital object and the accompanying documentation, without fee, and without written agreement, is hereby granted for educational, non-commercial purposes only. The Rhodes College Archives and Special Collections reserves the right to decide what constitutes educational and commercial use. In all instances of use, acknowledgement must be given to Rhodes College Archives Digital Repository, Memphis, TN. For information regarding permission to use this image, please email the Archives at archives@rhodes.edu.
Download date	2025-08-06 08:49:23
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10267/29190

THE COMMERCIAL APPEAL

A Scripps-Howard Newspaper

MICHAEL GREHL, Editor
Published by The Memphis Publishing Co.
495 Union Ave., Memphis, Tenn. 38101
JOSEPH R. WILLIAMS, Business Manager

Consolidated July 1, 1894

Page 4

Tuesday, June 17, 1980

The Energy Fast Track

IS THE Energy Mobilization Board the one way this nation can cut through red tape and road-blocks when they threaten to derail U.S. energy efforts, as those who've engineered it claim? Or is the energy "fast track" fraught with perils far greater than any posed whenever bureaucratic inertia or environmental opposition stall a key project?

The country and Congress must come to terms with these issues, now that House and Senate conferees have reached a compromise on legislation authorizing the board. How the questions are answered could change the fundamental course of the United States.

As envisioned by the conference committee, the three-member board appointed by the president would have the power to:

 Designate priority projects. (Automatic status would go to any electric utility requesting it when a generating plant is converted from oil or natural gas to coal or when an oil- or gas-fired boiler is replaced).

 Set timetables for federal, state and local government action on such projects and to act in their place if they miss their deadlines.

 Waive laws which represent a "substantial impediment" to a priority project, subject to an expedited review by Congress.

 Waive or suspend any federal, state or local law enacted after a project applied for priority status or after construction had begun. This "grandfather" clause would not require congressional or presidential review.

FROM A practical standpoint, the board has its problems. How, for instance, is a president going to find three people who are capable of such vision? Assuming they are found, will these all-seeing, all-knowing appointees need a staff to advise them if an energy project is sound enough to stand on its own, much less merit special attention?

Will adding another bureaucracy speed energy projects, or will it merely add to the delay? Will the board be overloaded with requests for special consideration?

Will the big projects — refineries, pipelines, slurries and the like — get its attention at the expense of cottage industries, which are likewise subject to bureaucratic tangles and legal delays in developing energy plans?

Shouldn't state and local governments make an effort to pare down on their requirements? Does congressional review make the board too weak to accomplish what's needed?

OR WOULD THE board be too strong with its power to override local, state and federal laws? This question of principle is at the heart of the matter.

We are talking about a fundamental reordering of the federal system on which this country was founded and has functioned for almost 200 years.

The federal system isn't the most efficient way to do business, nor was it designed to be. Rather, it was intended to measure interests and to balance them against one another. The results may not be fast or to everyone's liking, but take the sum of our best thinking to come up with appropriate actions and possible solutions. And at least the answer isn't handed down by fiat at the dictator's pleasure.

The Energy Mobilization Board may, in fact, get energy efforts moving faster and, perhaps, help solve a national emergency ahead of schedule.

The board may also extract a high price for making the train run on time. Interdicting stand local authority as well as federal law — wher for energy independence or any other caus raises constitutional issues of grave consequence.

What Americans must decide is whe they're willing to pay the freight for switchir the fast track on energy.

OPE 07-09